arXiv:0903.3419v1 [math.NT] 19 Mar 2009

Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at supersingular primes: beyond the case $a_p = 0$

Florian Sprung ("ian")*

Abstract

We extend Kobayashi's formulation of Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at supersingular primes to include the case $a_p \neq 0$, where a_p is the trace of Frobenius. To do this, we generalize the algebraic construction of Pollack's p-adic L-functions (defined for $a_p = 0$) to obtain p-adic L-functions L_p^ϑ and L_p^υ, which have the same growth properties as the classical Pollack p-adic L-functions. We then build on Kobayashi's methods to define two Selmer groups Sel^ϑ and Sel^υ and formulate the Iwasawa main conjecture, stating that the characteristic ideals of these Selmer groups are generated by our p-adic L-functions L_p^ϑ and L_p^υ. It is equivalent to Kato's and Perrin-Riou's main conjectures, and we apply Kato's techniques to prove a divisibility statement.

1 Historical Background

In the early 1970's, B. Mazur and P. Swinnerton-Dyer constructed a p-adic L-function for an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{Q}$ when p has good ordinary reduction (see [8], but also [9]). Later that decade in [10], Mazur formulated the Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at these good ordinary primes, relating the p-adic L-function to a Selmer group over the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension $\mathbb{Q}_\infty$. More precisely, let $\Gamma := \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$. We can identify the ring of power series $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$ with $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$. Mazur noticed that the Selmer group is $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$-cotorsion (i.e. the Pontryagin dual of this Selmer group is $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$-torsion), and conjectured that the p-adic L-function generates its characteristic ideal. A proof has been announced by Skinner and Urban [13].

In the 1980's, Mazur, Tate, and Teitelbaum constructed more general p-adic L-functions, among others for the supersingular (i.e. good extraordinary) case (See [11], but also [1] and [2]). We note that there are infinitely many supersingular primes p by a theorem of Elkies [15], but in this case these p-adic L-functions are no longer elements of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, and correspondingly, the Selmer group is no longer $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$-cotorsion. In fact, there are two p-adic L-functions, for each root α of the Hecke polynomial $X^2 - a_pX + p$, where a_p is the trace of Frobenius.

---

*ian.sprung@gmail.com

Earlier this decade, Pollack noticed that if $a_p = 0$ (in which case p is automatically supersingular), there are auxiliary functions $\log_p^{\pm}(X)$ vanishing at all p^mth roots of unity for m even $(+)$ or m odd $(-)$. These helped express the p-adic L-function of Mazur, Tate, and Teitelbaum as a certain sum, e.g. for p odd,

$$L_p(E, \alpha, X) = L_p^+(E, X) \log_p^+(1 + X) + L_p^-(E, X) \log_p^-(1 + X)\alpha,$$

where $L_p^{\pm} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$ (which Pollack defined in [Pollack's Thesis] using *analytic* arguments). Kobayashi then related these p-adic L-functions $L_p^{\pm}$ to two subgroups of the Selmer group in the spirit of Mazur's ordinary main conjecture in [Kobayashi's Thesis]. That is, these Selmer groups are $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$-cotorsion and their characteristic ideals are generated by Pollack's $L_p^{\pm}$-functions. He used *algebraic* arguments using Honda's theory of formal groups and Kato' zeta elements to both reconstruct Pollack's $L_p^{\pm}$-functions and define his Selmer groups, and proved a divisibility statement of his main conjecture using work of Kato, but still assumed $a_p = 0$. This assumption is mild since it is satisfied for all $p \geq 5$ in view of the Hasse-Weil bound $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ (see e.g. [12, chapter 5]).

In this paper, we remove this assumption and algebraically construct p-adic L-functions $L_p^{\vartheta}, L_p^{\upsilon} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$ and auxiliary functions $\log_\alpha^{\vartheta}, \log_\alpha^{\upsilon}$ for any supersingular prime p, so that

$$L_p(E, \alpha, X) = L_p^{\vartheta}(E, X) \log_\alpha^{\vartheta}(1 + X) + L_p^{\upsilon}(E, X) \log_\alpha^{\upsilon}(1 + X).$$

We also formulate a main conjecture that relates these p-adic L-functions to subgroups of the Selmer group when p is odd.

The most important new idea of this paper is to construct the two p-adic L-functions *simultaneously.* What the methods of Kobayashi and Pollack had in common was that they discovered certain zeroes for the auxiliary $\log_p^{\pm}$ that ultimately would lead them to $L_p^{\pm}$ *separately* for each sign ±. However, either method could only discover $\frac{1}{p}$th of the desired zeroes for the case $a_p \neq 0$ (cf. [Pollack's Thesis, corollary 3.6]), which made this case so difficult. In order to discover the other $\frac{p-1}{p}$th of the zeroes, we make statements about where *linear combinations* of certain intermediate functions vanish, rather than looking for the zeroes of those intermediate functions themselves. (This explains the presence of many matrices in this paper.)

Kobayashi exploited a “periodicity” when taking traces of a certain element c_n. The fact that this “period” was always 2 for $a_p = 0$ made his methods work. In the case $a_p \neq 0$, one observation from which we start this paper is that the “period” in question varies from prime to prime. (This is the table in this paper.)

Examples of elliptic curves supersingular at p for which $a_p \neq 0$ include any curve of conductor 11 ($a_2 = -2$) and a classical curve of Mordell given by $y^2 + y = x^3 - x$ ($a_2 = -2, a_3 = -3$). A look at the Cremona tables reveals that 70 out of the 471 curves of conductor ≤ 299 have $a_p \neq 0$ for some p. The first curve with $a_2 = 2$ is $67A$, that with $a_3 = 3$ is $140B$, and the first curve with $a_2 = 2, a_3 = 3$ is $319A$, another example being $755E$ (given by $y^2 + y = x^3 - 7x + 7$). The two curves $245A$ and $245B$ both have $a_2 = -2$, while $a_3 = -3$ for the first, and $a_3 = 3$ for the latter.

Acknowledgments. Our thanks go to everybody who deserves them, in particular Takeshi Tsuji: both for his initial bit of skepticism for the case $a_p \neq 0$ and later relentless encouragement, a very valuable suggestion that went into proposition 3.16, and various improvements of proofs in this paper; to Shinichi Kobayashi and Robert Pollack for helpful emails, and to the buoyant, cheerful atmosphere of room 406 at Todai Math.

2 Notation

Since this paper is in some ways a continuation of [Kobayashi's Thesis], we attempt to keep the notation. $[\frac{a}{b}]$ is the greatest integer not greater than $\frac{a}{b}$, and $\Phi_n(X) = \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} X^{p^{n-1}t}$ is the p^nth cyclotomic polynomial. Let $N = n + 1$ if p is odd, and $N = n + 2$ if $p = 2$. Put $k_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^N})$, and let $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^N})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(k_n/\mathbb{Q})$. Denoting the generator of $\mathcal{G}_n$ by γ_n, we can identify $\mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}_n]$ with $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]/(\omega_n(X))$, where $\omega_n(X) = (1+X)^{p^n} - 1$, by sending γ_n to $(1 + X)$. Taking inverse limits, we denote $\mathcal{G}_\infty = \varprojlim \mathcal{G}_n$, and $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathcal{G}_\infty]] = \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$.

Kobayashi constructed a power series $\log_{\mathcal{F}_{ss}}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$ that can be interpreted as the logarithm of a formal group $\mathcal{F}_{ss}$ isomorphic to the formal group $\hat{E}$ of the elliptic curve via Honda theory. Although Kobayashi assumed $a_p = 0$, Pollack has pointed out in [3] that this could be done for $a_p \neq 0$ as well. Both [Kobayashi's Thesis] and [3] also assume p is odd, but Honda's theorems hold for $p = 2$ as well [4], so we make no assumption on a_p or p in this paper until section 4. The power series $\log_{\mathcal{F}_{ss}}$ can be used as follows:

Let $\varepsilon \in p\mathbb{Z}$ be so that $\log_{\mathcal{F}_{ss}}(\varepsilon) = \frac{p}{p+1-a_p}$. Now put $c_n = \varepsilon[+]_{\mathcal{F}_{ss}}(\zeta_{p^N} - 1)$. Then c_n, c_{n-1} are generators of $\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_n)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}_n]$-module.

3 Construction of p-adic L-functions

For the case $a_p = 0$, Kobayashi used a certain trace-compatibility of these generators [Kobayashi's Thesis, Lemma 8.9] to define elements $c_n^{\pm} \in \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_n)$, from which he was able to reconstruct Pollack's $L_p^{\pm}$-functions. In what follows, we develop methods to treat the case $a_p \neq 0$.

Definition 3.1. We make the following conventions:

- $\delta_n^0 = c_n$. Then inductively for $i \geq 0$,
- $\delta_n^{i+1} = Tr_{n+1/n}(\delta_{n+1}^i)$ if i is even, and
- $\delta_n^{i+1} = \frac{1}{p} Tr_{n+1/n}(\delta_{n+1}^i)$ if i is odd.

That we could divide by p in the above equation is not clear a priori, and will be justified in what follows. It can also be verified by calculation, best done after reading section 3 of [3] (It's only one page. There, $\mathbb{Q}_{n,p} := k_{n-1}$).

Definition 3.2. We will exploit the periodicity of the δ_n^is and set:

- If $a_p = 0$, then $\tilde{2} = 2$, and $\tilde{4} = 4$.
- If $a_p \neq 0$, then $\tilde{2} = 2p$, and $\tilde{4} = 4p$.

Lemma 3.3. $\delta_n^{i+\tilde{2}} = -\delta_n^i$

Proof. Calculation with the power series, analogous to [Kobayashi's Thesis, Lemma 8.9]. This is easier if you use lemma 3.7 below. *QED*

Corollary 3.4. *δ_n^i can be defined for i mod $\tilde{4}$, and we extend the definition to all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Example 3.5. $\delta_n^0 = \delta_n^{1728} = c_n, \delta_n^{691} = \delta_n^{-1} = c_{n-1}$

For the reader's convenience, we include a table of the δ_n^is, $n \geq 0$:

	$a_p = 2$	$a_p = -2$	$a_p = 3$	$a_p = -3$	$a_p = 0$
δ_n^{-2}	$-c_n+c_{n-1}$	$-c_n-c_{n-1}$	$-c_n+c_{n-1}$	$-c_n-c_{n-1}$	$-c_n$
δ_n^{-1}	c_{n-1}	c_{n-1}	c_{n-1}	c_{n-1}	c_{n-1}
δ_n^{0}	c_n	c_n	c_n	c_n	c_n
δ_n^{1}	$2c_n-c_{n-1}$	$-2c_n-c_{n-1}$	$3c_n-c_{n-1}$	$-3c_n-c_{n-1}$	$-c_{n-1}$
δ_n^{2}	c_n-c_{n-1}	c_n+c_{n-1}	$2c_n-c_{n-1}$	$2c_n+c_{n-1}$	$-c_n$
δ_n^{3}	$-c_{n-1}$	$-c_{n-1}$	$3c_n-2c_{n-1}$	$-3c_n-2c_{n-1}$	c_{n-1}
δ_n^{4}	$-c_n$	$-c_n$	c_n-c_{n-1}	c_n+c_{n-1}	c_n
δ_n^{5}	$-2c_n+c_{n-1}$	$2c_n+c_{n-1}$	$-c_{n-1}$	$-c_{n-1}$	$-c_{n-1}$
δ_n^{6}	$-c_n+c_{n-1}$	$-c_n-c_{n-1}$	$-c_n$	$-c_n$	$-c_n$
δ_n^{7}	c_{n-1}	c_{n-1}	$-3c_n+c_{n-1}$	$3c_n+c_{n-1}$	c_{n-1}

Lemma 3.6. *$\delta_n^i, \delta_n^{i+1}$ are generators for $\hat{E}(\mathfrak{m}_n)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}_n]-$module.*

Proof. This follows from c_n, c_{n-1} being generators for $\hat{E}(\mathfrak{m}_n)$. *QED*

Let us now compute y_i and y_i' so that $y_i c_n + y_i' c_{n-1} = \delta_n^i$.

Coefficient Lemma 3.7.

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_i & y_i' \\ y_{i-1} & y_{i-1}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p^{-[\frac{i}{2}]} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-[\frac{i+1}{2}]} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}^i$$

Further, $y_i, y_i' \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. For $i = 0$, this just says that $\delta_n^0 = c_n, \delta_n^{-1} = c_{n-1}$. The left matrix comes from the power of p in $\delta_n^i = p^{-[\frac{i}{2}]} Tr_{n+i/n}(\delta_{n+i}^0)$, $\delta_n^{i-1} = p^{-[\frac{i+1}{2}]} Tr_{n+i/n}(\delta_{n+i}^{-1})$, while the right matrix is the $i-$fold application of the trace map: the trace of $yc_n + y'c_{n-1} = (y, y') \begin{pmatrix} c_n \\ c_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$ is equal to $(y, y') \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{n-1} \\ c_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}$.

As for the integrality of y_i, y_i', note that since $\frac{a_p}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix},$$

where $*$ denotes an integral entry.

The periodicity (i.e., lemma 3.3) follows also from this lemma on noting that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\tilde{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} -p^{\tilde{1}} & 0 \\ 0 & -p^{\tilde{1}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{1} = 1$ for $a_p = 0$, and $\tilde{1} = p$ for $a_p \neq 0$. *QED*

Corollary 3.8.

$$\textit{For } i \textit{ odd,} \begin{pmatrix} y_{i+1} & y'_{i+1} \\ y_i & y'_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_p}{p} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_i & y'_i \\ y_{i-1} & y'_{i-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\textit{For } i \textit{ even,} \begin{pmatrix} y_{i+1} & y'_{i+1} \\ y_i & y'_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_i & y'_i \\ y_{i-1} & y'_{i-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. If i is odd, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{i+1} & y'_{i+1} \\ y_i & y'_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p^{-[\frac{i+1}{2}]} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-[\frac{i+2}{2}]} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}^i$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p^{-[\frac{i+1}{2}]} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-[\frac{i+2}{2}]} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}^i \text{since } p^{-[\frac{i+1}{2}]} = p^{-[\frac{i+2}{2}]}.$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p^{-[\frac{i}{2}]} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-[\frac{i+1}{2}]} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}^i$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_p}{p} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_i & y'_i \\ y_{i-1} & y'_{i-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The calculations for even i are similar. *QED*

Corollary 3.9.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_n^{i+1} \\ \delta_n^i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_p}{p} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_n^i \\ \delta_n^{i-1} \end{pmatrix} \textit{ if } i \textit{ is odd,}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_n^{i+1} \\ \delta_n^i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_n^i \\ \delta_n^{i-1} \end{pmatrix} \textit{ if } i \textit{ is even.}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_i & y'_i \\ y_{i-1} & y'_{i-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_n \\ c_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_n^i \\ \delta_n^{i-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

QED

Definition 3.10. Since the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_p}{p} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ disagree only in the upper left entry, we set:

$$a_p(i) = \begin{cases} \frac{a_p}{p} \text{ if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ a_p \text{ if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.11. Let $P_{n,x} : H_1(k_n, T) \to \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}_n]$ be defined by

$$z \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_n} (x^\sigma, z)_n \sigma \quad \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_n),$$

where $(,)_n : \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_n) \times H^1(k_n, T) \to H^2(k_n, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ is the pairing coming from the cup product.

Definition 3.12. We let $P_n^i = P_{n,\delta_n^i}$

Proposition 3.13. *im* $(P_n^{i-n-1}) \subset \omega_{\equiv i}\Lambda_n$. *Here,* $\omega_{\equiv i}\Lambda_n$ *is the principal ideal in* Λ_n *generated by*

$$\omega_{\equiv i(n)} = \prod_{1 \le j \le n} \Phi_j(1+X), \qquad \textit{where } j \equiv i \pmod{\tilde{2}}.$$

Proof. Let p be odd. Consider the morphism

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][X]/(\omega_n(X)) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}_n] \xrightarrow{\prod \psi} \prod_\psi \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times ,$$

where ψ ranges over all characters of $\mathcal{G}_n$ of conductor p^{i+1} with $i \equiv n \pmod{\tilde{2}}$. $\psi(\gamma_n)$ is a primitive p^ith root of unity, so $\ker \prod \psi$ is generated by $\omega_{\equiv n}\Lambda_n$. We now prove $\psi \circ P_n^{-1} = 0$, from which $im(P_n^{-1}) \subset \omega_{\equiv n}\Lambda_n$. From lemma 3.3 and [Kobayashi's Thesis, lemma 8.15], we have $\pm p^{-[\frac{n+1}{2}]}\psi \circ P_n^{-1} = p^{-[\frac{i+1}{2}]}\psi \circ P_i^{-1} \circ cor_{n/i}$, whence we can reduce to the case $n = i$:

$$\psi \circ P_i^{-1}(z) = -\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_i} ({\delta_{i-1}^{-1}}^\sigma, z)_i \psi(\sigma) = -\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{G}_{i-1}} ({\delta_{i-1}^{-1}}^\tau, z)_i \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_i, \overline{\sigma} \equiv \tau} \psi(\sigma) = 0.$$

Now let i be any integer. From [Kobayashi's Thesis, lemma 8.15],

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(k_n, T) \arrow[r, "P_n^{i-1}"] \arrow[d, "cor"] & \Lambda_n \arrow[d, "proj"] \\
H^1(k_{n-1}, T) \arrow[r, "P_{n-1}^i"] & \Lambda_{n-1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Therefore, the following commutes as well:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(k_n, T) \arrow[r, "P_n^{i-n-1}"] \arrow[d, "cor"] & \Lambda_n \arrow[d, "proj"] \\
H^1(k_i, T) \arrow[r, "P_i^{-1}"] & \omega_{\equiv i}\Lambda_i,
\end{tikzcd}$$

This implies that P_n^{-n+i-1} maps into $\omega_{\equiv i}\Lambda_n$.

For $p = 2$ we let the conductor of ψ at the beginning of the proof be 2^{i+2}. *QED*

Corollary 3.14. *From this and definition 3.10,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_n^i \\ P_n^{i-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & a_p(i) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_n^{i+1} \\ P_n^i \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. Linearity of $P_{n,x}$. *QED*

Lemma 3.15. *Let*

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_{i+1} = A_i \begin{pmatrix} a_p(i) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $A_l^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{l-1} = \begin{pmatrix} p^{[\frac{l}{2}]} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{[\frac{l-1}{2}]} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & a_p \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. This is just induction:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{l+1}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} a_p & -p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{l} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & a_p(l) \end{pmatrix} A_l^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} a_p & -p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{l-1}\begin{pmatrix} a_p & -p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & a_p(l) \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} p^{[\frac{l}{2}]} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{[\frac{l-1}{2}]} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & a_p \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a_p & -p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & a_p \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} p^{[\frac{l}{2}]} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{[\frac{l-1}{2}]} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & a_p \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} p^{[\frac{l}{2}]} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{[\frac{l}{2}]} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The matrix in brackets [ ] only applies to the case l odd. *QED*

Proposition 3.16. *Fix a k so that $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $Q_k = Q_k(X) \in \Lambda_n$ vanish at $\zeta_{p^j} - 1, 1 \leq j \leq k, j \equiv k \pmod{\tilde{2}}$, and assume* $\begin{pmatrix} Q_{k-m} \\ Q_{k-m-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p(m) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} Q_{k-m-1} \\ Q_{k-m-2} \end{pmatrix}$. *Then*

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_k \\ Q_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -\Phi_{k-2} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a_p & -\Phi_{k-3} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdots\begin{pmatrix} a_p & -\Phi_{k-l} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} q_{k-l+1} \\ q_{k-l} \end{pmatrix},$$

so that $q_i = q_i(X) \in \Lambda_n$ vanishes at $\zeta_{p^j} - 1, 1 \leq j < i, j \equiv i \pmod{\tilde{2}}$. Here, $\Phi_* = \Phi_*(1 + X)$.

Proof. We argue by induction. For the base case ($l = 2$), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_k \\ Q_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q_{k-1} \\ Q_{k-2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Choose q_{k-2} so that $Q_{k-2}(X) = q_{k-2}(X)\Phi_{k-2}(1+X)$ and put $q_{k-1} = Q_{k-1}$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_k \\ Q_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -\Phi_{k-2} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_{k-1} \\ q_{k-2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now suppose this holds for $l \geq 2$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_k \\ Q_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -\Phi_{k-2} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -\Phi_{k-l} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_{k-l+1} \\ q_{k-l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The key observation is that for $i \geq k - l$,

$$\Phi_i(\zeta_{k-l-1}) = 1 + (\zeta_{k-l-1})^{p^{i-1}} + \cdots + (\zeta_{k-l-1})^{p^{i-1}(p-1)} = p :$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_k(\zeta_{k-l-1} - 1) \\ Q_{k-1}(\zeta_{k-l-1} - 1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{l-1} \begin{pmatrix} q_{k-l+1}(\zeta_{k-l-1} - 1) \\ q_{k-l}(\zeta_{k-l-1} - 1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

But now,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_k(\zeta_{k-l-1} - 1) \\ Q_{k-1}(\zeta_{k-l-1} - 1) \end{pmatrix} = A_l \begin{pmatrix} Q_{k-l}(\zeta_{k-l-1} - 1) \\ Q_{k-l-1}(\zeta_{k-l-1} - 1) \end{pmatrix} = A_l \begin{pmatrix} Q_{k-l}(\zeta_{k-l-1} - 1) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, we have

$$A_l^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{l-1} \begin{pmatrix} q_{k-l+1} \\ q_{k-l} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{at } \zeta_{k-l-1} - 1.$$

By the previous lemma, there is some $q_{k-l-1} \in \Lambda_n$ so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} p^{[\frac{l}{2}]} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{[\frac{l-1}{2}]} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & a_p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_{k-l+1} \\ q_{k-l} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p^{[\frac{l}{2}]} q_{k-l} \\ p^{[\frac{l-1}{2}]} \Phi_{k-l-1} q_{k-l-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

since Λ_n is p-torsion-free.

Hence,
$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} q_{k-l+1} \\ q_{k-l} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi_{k-l-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_{k-l} \\ q_{k-l-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -\Phi_{k-l-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_{k-l} \\ q_{k-l-1} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

QED

Corollary 3.17. *Let $z \in H^1(k_n, T)$. Then for some $\vartheta(z), \upsilon(z) \in \Lambda_n$,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_n^1(z) \\ P_n^0(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -\Phi_n(1+X) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -\Phi_1(1+X) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta(z) \\ \upsilon(z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. $\begin{pmatrix} \delta_n^1 \\ \delta_n^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_n^0 \\ \delta_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, so $\begin{pmatrix} P_n^1 \\ P_n^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_n^0 \\ P_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. We also note that $P_n^1(z)$ vanishes where $\omega_{\equiv n+2(n)}$ vanishes, i.e. at $\zeta_{p^j} - 1$ with $j \equiv n+2 \pmod{\tilde{2}}, j \leq n$.

QED

Notation 3.18. We write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Theta_n^1(X) & \Upsilon_n^1(X) \\ \Theta_n^0(X) & \Upsilon_n^0(X) \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -\Phi_n(1+X) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -\Phi_1(1+X) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and define}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Theta_n^{i+1} & \Upsilon_n^{i+1} \\ \Theta_n^i & \Upsilon_n^i \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} a_p(i) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_n^i & \Upsilon_n^i \\ \Theta_n^{i-1} & \Upsilon_n^{i-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Corollary 3.19. *From the definition of δ_n^i and the corollary above,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_n^{i+1}(z) \\ P_n^i(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_n^{i+1}(X) & \Upsilon_n^{i+1}(X) \\ \Theta_n^i(X) & \Upsilon_n^i(X) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta(z) \\ \upsilon(z) \end{pmatrix}, z \in H^1(k_n, T).$$

We now scrutinize the growth properties of Θ_n^* and Υ_n^*:

Definition 3.20. For $f(X) \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$ convergent on the open unit disk, let

$$|f(X)|_r := \sup_{|z|_p < r} |f(z)|_p, r < 1,$$

and for a matrix with such entries, let

$$\left| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right|_r := \sup\{|a|_r, |b|_r, |c|_r, |d|_r\}.$$

Convergence Lemma 3.21.

$\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[\frac{i-n}{2}]} \Theta_n^{i-n}(X)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[\frac{i-n}{2}]} \Upsilon_n^{i-n}(X)$ exist and converge on the open unit disk.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_n^j & \Upsilon_n^j\\ \Theta_n^{j-1} & \Upsilon_n^{j-1}\end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} y_j & y'_j\\ y_{j-1} & y'_{j-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_n^0 & \Upsilon_n^0\\ \Theta_n^{-1} & \Upsilon_n^{-1}\end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}\\
\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_{n+1}^{j-1} & \Upsilon_{n+1}^{j-1}\\ \Theta_{n+1}^{j-2} & \Upsilon_{n+1}^{j-2}\end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} y_{j-1} & y'_{j-1}\\ y_{j-2} & y'_{j-2}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_{n+1}^0 & \Upsilon_{n+1}^0\\ \Theta_{n+1}^{-1} & \Upsilon_{n+1}^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\\
&= \begin{pmatrix} y_{j-1} & y'_{j-1}\\ y_{j-2} & y'_{j-2}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & \Phi_{n+1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}a_p & -1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_n^0 & \Upsilon_n^0\\ \Theta_n^{-1} & \Upsilon_n^{-1}\end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Put $A_n^j := \begin{pmatrix} p^{[\frac{j}{2}]} & 0\\ 0 & p^{[\frac{j-1}{2}]}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_n^j & \Upsilon_n^j\\ \Theta_n^{j-1} & \Upsilon_n^{j-1}\end{pmatrix}$, and $P_j := \begin{pmatrix} p^{[\frac{j}{2}]} & 0\\ 0 & p^{[\frac{j-1}{2}]}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} y_j & y'_j\\ y_{j-1} & y'_{j-1}\end{pmatrix}$. From the coefficient lemma (3.7), $P_j = P_{j-1}\begin{pmatrix}a_p & -1\\ p & 0\end{pmatrix}$. Now for $j = i-n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|A_n^{i-n} - A_{n+1}^{i-n-1}\right|_r &= \left|\left(P_{i-n} - P_{i-n-1}\begin{pmatrix}a_p & -1\\ \Phi_{n+1} & 0\end{pmatrix}\right)\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_n^0 & \Upsilon_n^0\\ \Theta_n^{-1} & \Upsilon_n^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\right|_r\\
&\leq \left|p^{\frac{n}{2}}P_{i-n-1}\left(\begin{pmatrix}a_p & -1\\ p & 0\end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix}a_p & -1\\ \Phi_{n+1} & 0\end{pmatrix}\right)\right|_r \left|p^{-\frac{n}{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{p}\end{pmatrix}A_n^0\right|_r.
\end{aligned}$$

But from the proof of [Pollack's Thesis, lemma 4.5][1], it follows that $|p^{-\frac{n}{2}}A_n^0|_r$ is bounded for $n \gg 0$. As for the other term, observe $\left|\frac{\Phi_{n+1}(1+X)}{p} - 1\right|_r \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ (see e.g. [Pollack's Thesis, proof of lemma 4.1]). Hence, $\left|\begin{pmatrix}a_p & -1\\ p & 0\end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix}a_p & -1\\ \Phi_{n+1} & 0\end{pmatrix}\right|_r \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

From this and (1), it follows that $|A_n^{i-n} - A_{n+1}^{i-n-1}|_r \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, so A_n^{i-n} converges, whence the lemma. *QED*

Recall that $N = n+1$ for p odd and $= n+2$ for p even. In view of this lemma, let us put:

Definition 3.22.

$$\Theta_\infty^i(X) := \lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[\frac{i-N}{2}]}\Theta_n^{i-N}(X), \text{ and } \Upsilon_\infty^i(X) := \lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[\frac{i-N}{2}]}\Upsilon_n^{i-N}(X).$$

Growth Lemma 3.23. $\Theta_\infty^i(X)$ and $\Upsilon_\infty^i(X)$ are $\emptyset(\log_p(1+X)^{\frac{1}{2}})$.

---

[1] It seems that what Pollack meant to write in there was $|\Phi_n(1+X)|_r \sim r^{p^{n-1}(p-1)}$.

Proof. Put $\Phi_* = \Phi_*(1+X)$. We have

$$\left|\frac{1}{p}\begin{pmatrix} a_p & -\Phi_{k+1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a_p & -\Phi_k \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right|_r = \left|\frac{1}{p}\begin{pmatrix} a_p^2 - \Phi_{k+1} & -a_p\Phi_k \\ a_p & -\Phi_k \end{pmatrix}\right|_r = \left|\frac{\Phi_k}{p}\right|_r.$$

Thus for any integer $*$,

$$|p^{-\frac{n}{2}}\Theta_n^*|_r \le \left|\prod_{k\le n-1, k\equiv n-1 \pmod 2} \frac{\Phi_k}{p}\right|_r.$$

But from [Pollack's Thesis], lemma 4.5, we have

$$\log_p(1+X)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim \frac{1}{p}\prod_{k \text{ odd},<n} \frac{\Phi_k}{p} \sim \frac{1}{p}\prod_{k \text{ even},<n} \frac{\Phi_k}{p},$$

so $\Theta_\infty^i \in \emptyset(\log_p(1+X)^{\frac{1}{2}})$, and similarly $\Upsilon_\infty^i \in \emptyset(\log_p(1+X)^{\frac{1}{2}})$. *QED*

Lemma 3.24.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Theta_\infty^{i+1} & \Upsilon_\infty^{i+1} \\ \Theta_\infty^i & \Upsilon_\infty^i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \Theta_\infty^i & \Upsilon_\infty^i \\ \Theta_\infty^{i-1} & \Upsilon_\infty^{i-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. Calculation at finite levels. *QED*

Corollary 3.25. *At least one of the following is true:*

- $\Theta_\infty^{i+1}(X) \sim \Upsilon_\infty^i(X) \sim \log_p(1+X)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
- $\Theta_\infty^i(X) \sim \Upsilon_\infty^{i+1}(X) \sim \log_p(1+X)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Proof. Multiplying determinants, $\Theta_\infty^1(X)\Upsilon_\infty^0(X) - \Theta_\infty^0(X)\Upsilon_\infty^1(X) = \log_p(1+X)$. *QED*

Kernel Lemma 3.26. For the $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][X]$-module morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Lambda_n & \oplus & \Lambda_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_n^i} & \Lambda_n(\Theta_n^i \oplus \Theta_n^{i-1}) & + & \Lambda_n(\Upsilon_n^i \oplus \Upsilon_n^{i-1}) \subset & \Lambda_n \oplus \Lambda_n \\ (a & , & b) \longmapsto & \Theta_n^i a + \Upsilon_n^i b & \oplus & \Theta_n^{i-1} a + \Upsilon_n^{i-1} b, & \end{array}$$

we have $\ker \varphi_n^i = \Lambda_n X(\Upsilon_n^i \oplus -\Theta_n^i) + \Lambda_n X(\Upsilon_n^{i-1} \oplus -\Theta_n^{i-1})$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in \ker \varphi_n^i$, and let $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$ be the preimages under the projection $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][X] \longrightarrow \Lambda_n$. Then $\Theta_n^i \tilde{a} + \Upsilon_n^i \tilde{b} \in (\omega_n) = \tilde{0}$ and $\Theta_n^{i-1}\tilde{a} + \Upsilon_n^{i-1}\tilde{b} \in (\omega_n) = \tilde{0}$. In particular, we have

$$\Theta_n^i \tilde{a} + \Upsilon_n^i \tilde{b} = d\omega_n, \qquad \Theta_n^{i-1}\tilde{a} + \Upsilon_n^{i-1}\tilde{b} = c\omega_n, \text{ for some } c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][X].$$

Regarding the above as a linear system with indeterminates $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$, we obtain the solution $\tilde{a} = cX\Upsilon_n^i + dX\Upsilon_n^{i-1}, \tilde{b} = -cX\Theta_n^i - dX\Theta_n^{i-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][X]$. But $\omega_n = X(\Theta_n^i \Upsilon_n^{i-1} - \Upsilon_n^i \Theta_n^{i-1}) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[X]$, so the determinant of the above system is not a zero divisor in $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][X]$, and hence the solution unique. Reducing modulo ω_n, we obtain the desired kernel. *QED*

Observation 3.27. $\ker \varphi_n^i$ is independent of i, and we henceforth write it as $\ker \varphi_n$.

Proof.

$$\ker \varphi_n^i = \left\{ (Xc, Xd) \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_n^i & -\Theta_n^i \\ \Upsilon_n^{i-1} & -\Theta_n^{i-1} \end{pmatrix} = (Xc, Xd) \begin{pmatrix} a_p(i-1) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_n^{i-1} & -\Theta_n^{i-1} \\ \Upsilon_n^{i-2} & -\Theta_n^{i-2} \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

where (c, d) runs through $\Lambda_n \oplus \Lambda_n$. *QED*

Corollary 3.28. *There is a unique map Col_n so that*

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^1(k_n, T) \arrow[r, "Col_n"] \arrow[d, equal] & \frac{\Lambda_n \oplus \Lambda_n}{\ker \varphi_n} \arrow[d, hook, "\varphi_n^i"] \\ H^1(k_n, T) \arrow[r, "{P_n^i, P_n^{i-1}}"] & \Lambda_n \oplus \Lambda_n \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Proof. For $z \in H^1(k_n, T), \varphi_n^i \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta(z) \\ \upsilon(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_n^i(z) \\ P_n^{i-1}(z) \end{pmatrix}$ (cf. corollary 3.19). Since the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a_p(i) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the transformation matrix for both $\begin{pmatrix} \delta_n^i \\ \delta_n^{i-1} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \Theta_n^i & \Upsilon_n^i \\ \Theta_n^{i-1} & \Upsilon_n^{i-1} \end{pmatrix}$, Col_n is independent from i. *QED*

Proposition 3.29. *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^1(k_{n+1}, T) \arrow[r, "{P_{n+1}^i, P_{n+1}^{i-1}}"] \arrow[d, "cor"] & (\Theta_{n+1}^i \oplus \Theta_{n+1}^{i-1})\Lambda_{n+1} + (\Upsilon_{n+1}^i \oplus \Upsilon_{n+1}^{i-1})\Lambda_{n+1} \arrow[d, "m_i"] & \frac{\Lambda_{n+1} \oplus \Lambda_{n+1}}{\ker \varphi_{n+1}} \arrow[l, "\cong", "\varphi_{n+1}^i"'] \arrow[d, "proj"] \\ H^1(k_n, T) \arrow[r, "{P_n^{i+1}, P_n^i}"] & (\Theta_n^{i+1} \oplus \Theta_n^i)\Lambda_n + (\Upsilon_n^{i+1} \oplus \Upsilon_n^i)\Lambda_n & \frac{\Lambda_n \oplus \Lambda_n}{\ker \varphi_n} \arrow[l, "\cong", "\varphi_n^{i+1}"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

Also, we note that *proj* is well-defined:

Lemma 3.30. $proj(\ker\varphi_{n+1}) \subset \ker\varphi_n$.

Proof. Writing the kernel in vector notation, $\ker\varphi^1_{n+1} = (Xc, Xd)\begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon^1_{n+1} & -\Theta^1_{n+1} \\ \Upsilon^0_{n+1} & -\Theta^0_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}$. Denoting $proj(x)$ by $\overline{x}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{(\ker\varphi^1_{n+1})} &= (X\overline{c}, X\overline{d})\overline{\begin{pmatrix} a_p & -\Phi_{n+1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon^1_n & -\Theta^1_n \\ \Upsilon^0_n & -\Theta^0_n \end{pmatrix}} \\
&= (X\overline{c}, X\overline{d})\begin{pmatrix} a_p & -p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon^1_n & -\Theta^1_n \\ \Upsilon^0_n & -\Theta^0_n \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{since } \Phi_{n+1} \equiv p \text{ (mod) } \omega_n, \\
&= (X(\overline{c}a_p + \overline{d}), X(-\overline{c}p))\begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon^1_n & -\Theta^1_n \\ \Upsilon^0_n & -\Theta^0_n \end{pmatrix} \qquad \subset \qquad \ker\varphi^1_n.
\end{aligned}$$

QED for lemma

Now we can define $m_i : \Lambda_n \oplus \Lambda_n \longrightarrow \Lambda_n \oplus \Lambda_n$ as

$$m_i = \begin{cases} (proj, \times\frac{1}{p}) \text{ for } i \text{ even and} \\ (\times\frac{1}{p}, proj) \text{ for } i \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

We let $M^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $M^- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{p} \end{pmatrix}$. Then m_i is given $\begin{cases} \text{by } M^+ \text{ for } i \text{ even, and} \\ \text{by } M^- \text{ for } i \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$

Proof of proposition. The commutativity of the left square follows from the definition of δ^i_n and trace-compatibility (lemma 8.15 in [Kobayashi's Thesis]).

As for the right square, we use induction. In this proof, let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Claim 3.31 (base case)**.** *The right square commutes for $i = 1$.*

Proof of claim: We have

$$M^- \begin{pmatrix} \Theta^1_{n+1} & \Upsilon^1_{n+1} \\ \Theta^0_{n+1} & \Upsilon^0_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = M^- A \begin{pmatrix} \Theta^1_n & \Upsilon^1_n \\ \Theta^0_n & \Upsilon^0_n \end{pmatrix},$$

and since $\Phi_{n+1} \equiv p \pmod{\omega_n}$, this is

$$\equiv \begin{pmatrix} a_p(1) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \Theta^1_n & \Upsilon^1_n \\ \Theta^0_n & \Upsilon^0_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta^2_n & \Upsilon^2_n \\ \Theta^1_n & \Upsilon^1_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{mod } \omega_n).$$

QED for Claim

The crucial fact above can be generalized:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_p(i) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} M^{-}A \text{ for } i \text{ odd,} \\ AM^{+} \text{ for } i \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Now suppose the right square commutes for i. This means $m_i\varphi_{n+1}^i = \varphi_n^{i+1} \circ proj$, and we would like to show $m_{i+1}\varphi_{n+1}^{i+1} = \varphi_n^{i+2} \circ proj$. Note that

$$\varphi_n^{i+2} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p(i+1) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_n^{i+1}, \text{ and } \varphi_{n+1}^{i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_p(i) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_{n+1}^i.$$

Thus, what we would like to show is

$$m_{i+1} \begin{pmatrix} a_p(i) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_{n+1}^i = \begin{pmatrix} a_p(i+1) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} m_i\varphi_{n+1}^i.$$

Hence, it suffices to show that

$$M^{+}M^{-}A = AM^{+}M^{-}, \text{ and } M^{-}M^{+}A = AM^{-}M^{+}.$$

But $M^{-}M^{+} = M^{+}M^{-} = p^{-1}I$, so we are done. *QED*

Corollary 3.32. *The Coleman maps are compatible:*

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^1(k_{n+1},T) \arrow[r, "Col_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "cor"] & \frac{\Lambda_{n+1}\oplus\Lambda_{n+1}}{\ker\varphi_{n+1}} \arrow[d, "proj"] \\ H^1(k_n,T) \arrow[r, "Col_n"] & \frac{\Lambda_n\oplus\Lambda_n}{\ker\varphi_n} \end{tikzcd}$$

We can use this compatibility to make the following definition:

Definition 3.33. Let the Coleman map $Col : \mathbf{H}^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(T) \to \Lambda\oplus\Lambda$ be the limit of $Col_n : H^1(k_n,T) \to \frac{\Lambda_n\oplus\Lambda_n}{\ker\varphi_n}$, where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][[X]]$.

Limit lemma 3.34.

$$\varprojlim_n \frac{\Lambda_n\oplus\Lambda_n}{\ker\varphi_n} \cong \Lambda\oplus\Lambda,$$

Proof. First note that $\frac{\Lambda_n\oplus\Lambda_n}{\ker\varphi_n} \cong \frac{\Lambda\oplus\Lambda}{\ker\varphi_n}$, since $(0,\omega_n)+(\omega_n,0) \subset \ker\varphi_n$, which follows from $X \det \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_n^i & \Upsilon_n^i \\ \Theta_n^{i-1} & \Upsilon_n^{i-1} \end{pmatrix} = \omega_n$. Put $M_n = \ker\varphi_n \subset \Lambda\oplus\Lambda$. This is a finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}_p$−module, so

$$\Lambda^{\oplus 2}/M_n = \varprojlim_m \Lambda^{\oplus 2}/(M_n + p^m\Lambda^{\oplus 2}).$$

Now $M_n \supset \omega_n\Lambda^{\oplus 2}$, so $M_n + p^m\Lambda^{\oplus 2} \supset \omega_n\Lambda^{\oplus 2} + p^m\Lambda^{\oplus 2}$. Assume for the moment that we also have $M_{2m+\nu} + p^m\Lambda^{\oplus 2} \subset \omega_\nu\Lambda^{\oplus 2} + p^m\Lambda^{\oplus 2}$. These inclusions would induce module morphisms

$$\varprojlim_{(\nu,m)} \Lambda^{\oplus 2}/(\omega_\nu\Lambda^{\oplus 2} + p^m\Lambda^{\oplus 2}) \to \varprojlim_{(n,m)} \Lambda^{\oplus 2}/(M_n + p^m\Lambda^{\oplus 2})$$

$$\varprojlim_{(n,m)} \Lambda^{\oplus 2}/(M_n + p^m\Lambda^{\oplus 2}) \to \varprojlim_{(\nu,m)} \Lambda^{\oplus 2}/(\omega_\nu\Lambda^{\oplus 2} + p^m\Lambda^{\oplus 2})$$

which are inverses of each other, from which $\varprojlim_{n} \Lambda^{\oplus 2}/M_n = \Lambda \oplus \Lambda$.

As for the other inclusion, it suffices to prove that $M_{2m+\nu} = 0$ inside $\Lambda_\nu^{\oplus 2}/p^m$.

Consider the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \ker\pi \longrightarrow (\Lambda^{\oplus 2}/M_{2m+\nu}) \xrightarrow{\pi} (\Lambda_\nu^{\oplus 2}/M_{2m+\nu})/p^m \longrightarrow 0.$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We have } M_{2m+\nu} &= \left\{ X(c,d) \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_{2m+\nu}^{2m+1} & \Upsilon_{2m+\nu}^{2m+1} \\ \Theta_{2m+\nu}^{2m} & \Upsilon_{2m+\nu}^{2m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : c, d \in \Lambda_\nu \right\} \\ &= \left\{ X(c,d) \begin{pmatrix} a_p & -p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{2m} \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_\nu^1 & \Upsilon_\nu^1 \\ \Theta_\nu^0 & \Upsilon_\nu^0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : c, d \in \Lambda_\nu \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\Phi_i \equiv p \pmod{\omega_\nu}$ if $i > \nu$. p^m divides $\begin{pmatrix} a_p & -p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{2m}$, so $M_{2m+\nu} \subset p^m\Lambda_\nu^{\oplus 2}$. *QED*

Reminder 3.35. $\delta_n^j = y_j c_n + y_j' c_{n-1}$

Lemma 3.36. *Choose a root α of $X^2 - a_pX + p$, and put $\beta_m := p^{[\frac{m}{2}]}y_m\alpha^{-m}$. Then*

$$\beta_i - \beta_{i-k}(\frac{p}{\alpha^2})^k = \beta_{k-1}$$

Proof. Addition of complex numbers. We note that the $\beta_i = \alpha^{-i}\frac{\alpha^{i+1} - \overline{\alpha}^{i+1}}{\alpha - \overline{\alpha}}$(from 3.7) only depend on $i \pmod{\tilde{2}}$. *QED*

Theorem 3.37. *(Amice-Vélu[1], Višik[2]) For the p-adic L-function $L_p(E, \alpha, \eta, X)$ of [11],*

$$L_p(E, \alpha, \eta, \zeta - 1) = \frac{p^N}{\alpha^N \tau(\overline{\psi})} \frac{L(E, \overline{\psi}, 1)}{\Omega_E^{\eta(-1)}}, \text{ where } \psi = \eta\chi \text{ is non-trivial with conductor } N,$$

$N = n + 1$ for p odd and $N = n + 2$ for $p = 2$, and $\chi(\gamma_n) = \zeta$ is a primitive p^nth root of unity.

Definition 3.38. Let $\mathbf{z}^{\pm} \in \mathbf{H}^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(T)$ be Kato's zeta elements. For p odd, denote by

$$(L_p^{\vartheta}(E,\eta,X), L_p^{\upsilon}(E,\eta,X))$$

the η-component of the image of $\eta(-1)Col(z^{\eta(-1)})$ in Λ^2, which we naturally view as an element of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]^2 = (\Lambda^{\eta})^2$. If $p=2$, we define

$$(L_2^{\vartheta}(E,\eta,X), L_2^{\upsilon}(E,\eta,X)) = image(\eta(-1)Col(z^{\eta(-1)}))$$

in the quotient $\mathbb{Z}_2[[T]]^2$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2[\Delta][[T]]^2 = \Lambda^2$.

Lemma 3.39.

$$\Theta_n^i(\zeta-1)L_p^{\vartheta}(E,\eta,\zeta-1) + \Upsilon_n^i(\zeta-1)L_p^{\upsilon}(E,\eta,\zeta-1) = y_i\frac{\psi(-1)p^N}{\tau(\overline{\psi})}\frac{L(E,\overline{\psi},1)}{\Omega_E^{\eta(-1)}}$$

Proof. Let $\pi_1 : \Lambda_n \oplus \Lambda_n \to \Lambda_n$ be the projection onto the first component, and let $\pi_\psi : \Lambda_n \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be induced by $X \to \zeta - 1$, where ψ and ζ are as above. We have

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \pi_\psi\pi_1(\varphi_n^i Col_n(\mathbf{z}^{\eta(-1)}) = \pi_1\psi(P_n^i(\mathbf{z}^{\eta(-1)}), P_n^{i-1}(\mathbf{z}^{\eta(-1)})) \\ &= \psi(\textstyle\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_n}\log_{\mathcal{F}_{ss}}(\delta_n^i)^{\sigma}\sigma)\psi(\textstyle\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_n}\exp^*_{\omega_E}(z^{\eta(-1)\sigma})\sigma^{-1}) \\ &= y_i\tau(\psi)\tfrac{L(E,\overline{\psi},1)}{\Omega_E^{\eta(-1)}} \\ &= y_i\tfrac{\psi(-1)p^N}{\tau(\overline{\psi})}\tfrac{L(E,\overline{\psi},1)}{\Omega_E^{\eta(-1)}} = RHS \end{aligned}$$

QED

Corollary 3.40. *Put* $L_p^{\vartheta} = L_p^{\vartheta}(E,\eta,\zeta-1), L_p^{\upsilon} = L_p^{\upsilon}(E,\eta,\zeta-1)$, *and* $L_p(\alpha) = L_p(E,\eta,\alpha,\zeta-1)$.

We have: $p^{[\frac{-N}{2}]}(\Theta_n^{-N}L_p^{\vartheta}+\Upsilon_n^{-N}L_p^{\upsilon}) - p^{[\frac{-N-k}{2}]}(\Theta_n^{-N-k}L_p^{\vartheta}+\Upsilon_n^{-N-k}L_p^{\upsilon})(\frac{p}{\alpha})^k = \beta_{k-1}L_p(\alpha)$.

Proof. From lemma 3.36,

$$p^{[\frac{i}{2}]}y_i - p^{[\frac{i-k}{2}]}y_{i-k}(\frac{p}{\alpha})^k = \beta_{k-1}\alpha^i.$$

Now put $i=-N$ and apply the previous lemma. *QED*

Definition 3.41.

$$\log_\alpha^{\vartheta} := \Theta_\infty^0 - \Theta_\infty^{-1}\overline{\alpha} \text{ and } \log_\alpha^{\upsilon} := \Upsilon_\infty^0 - \Upsilon_\infty^{-1}\overline{\alpha}.$$

The next lemma shows that this definition is intrinsic (we remark that $\beta_{1-1} = 1, \beta_{0-1} = 0$).

Lemma 3.42. *Let* $i \not\equiv j \pmod{\tilde{2}}$. *Then*

$$\log_\alpha^\vartheta = \frac{\Theta_\infty^{-i}\overline{\alpha}^i - \Theta_\infty^{-j}\overline{\alpha}^j}{\beta_{j-1} - \beta_{i-1}} \text{ and } \log_\alpha^\upsilon = \frac{\Upsilon_\infty^{-i}\overline{\alpha}^i - \Upsilon_\infty^{-j}\overline{\alpha}^j}{\beta_{j-1} - \beta_{i-1}}.$$

Proof. We show that $\log_\alpha^\vartheta = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \alpha^{-N}\Theta_n^0 - \alpha^{-N-1}\Theta_n^{-1}$. The calculations for $\log_\alpha^\upsilon$ are similar.

From lemma 3.36, $\beta_{-N} - \beta_{i-1} = \beta_{-N-i}\left(\frac{p}{\alpha^2}\right)^i$. Thus, $\kappa_{-N} := \alpha^{-N}(\beta_{-N} - \beta_{i-1}) = \beta_{-N-i}\overline{\alpha}^i\alpha^{-N-i} = p^{[\frac{-N-i}{2}]}y_{-N-i}\overline{\alpha}^i$. Since $p^{[\frac{k}{2}]}y'_k = -p^{[\frac{k-1}{2}]}y_{k-1}$, we have

$$\kappa_{-N}\Theta_n^0 - \kappa_{-N-1}\Theta_n^{-1} = p^{[\frac{-N-i}{2}]}(y_{-N-i}\Theta_n^0 + y'_{-N-i}\Theta_n^{-1})\overline{\alpha}^i = p^{[\frac{-N-i}{2}]}\Theta_n^{-N-i}\overline{\alpha}^i.$$

Thus, $p^{[\frac{-N-i}{2}]}\Theta_n^{-N-i}\overline{\alpha}^i - p^{[\frac{-N-j}{2}]}\Theta_n^{-N-j}\overline{\alpha}^j = (\beta_{j-1} - \beta_{i-1})(\alpha^{-N}\Theta_n^0 - \alpha^{-N-1}\Theta_n^{-1})$.
QED

Lemma 3.43. $\log_\alpha^\vartheta(1+X) \sim \log_\alpha^\upsilon(1+X) \sim \log_p(1+X)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Proof. This follows from the corollary to the growth lemma (corollary 3.25).
QED

Theorem 3.44.

$$\log_\alpha^\vartheta(1+X)L_p^\vartheta(E,\eta,X) + \log_\alpha^\upsilon(1+X)L_p^\upsilon(E,\eta,X) = L_p(E,\alpha,\eta,X)$$

Proof. By the above lemma, both sides have the same growth, and by corollary 3.40, they agree at all positive p-power roots of unity (take $k = 1$). Thus they are the same. *QED*

Remark 3.45. By convention (e.g. [Pollack's Thesis]), we suppress the character η from the notation if it is trivial. Thus for the trivial character, we have

$$\log_\alpha^\vartheta(1+X)L_p^\vartheta(E,X) + \log_\alpha^\upsilon(1+X)L_p^\upsilon(E,X) = L_p(E,\alpha,X)$$

as in the introduction.

Remark 3.46. We can recover the results of Kobayashi and Pollack for the case $a_p = 0$. For p odd, $\log_1^\vartheta = \log_0^\upsilon = 0$, while for $p = 2$, $\log_0^\vartheta = \log_1^\upsilon = 0$, and we obtain

$$(\log_\alpha^\vartheta, \log_\alpha^\upsilon) = \begin{cases} (-\log^+, -\log^- \alpha) \text{ for } p \text{ odd,} \\ (-\log^-, -\frac{1}{2}\log^+ \alpha) \text{ for } p = 2, \text{ and} \end{cases}$$

$$(L_p^\vartheta, L_p^\upsilon) = \begin{cases} (-L_p^+, -L_p^-) \text{ in Pollack's notation,} \\ (-L_p^-, -L_p^+) \text{ in Kobayashi's notation.} \end{cases}$$

This explains the factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ in [Pollack's Thesis, theorem 5.6]. We also remark that the $-$sign comes from a sign convention in [Kobayashi's Thesis]. He constructed $L_p^\pm$ from elements $c_n^\pm$, while we used $\delta_n^0 = -c_n^+$ and $\delta_n^{-1} = -c_n^-$.

4 The Construction of the Two Selmer Groups

From now on, assume p is odd.

4.1 The Image of the Coleman Map

Recall that the map Col_n from Corollary 3.28 was defined by

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(k_n, T) \arrow[r, "Col_n"] \arrow[dr, bend right, "{P_n^i, P_n^{i-1}}"'] & \frac{\Lambda_n \oplus \Lambda_n}{\ker \varphi_n} \arrow[d, hook, "\varphi_n^i"] \\
 & \Lambda_n \oplus \Lambda_n
\end{tikzcd}$$

We can split the limit of these maps $Col = \varprojlim_n Col_n$ and put

Definition 4.1. $Col =: (Col^\vartheta, Col^\upsilon)$.

However, we cannot split Col_n in general, since $\ker \varphi_n$ lives in $\Lambda_n \oplus \Lambda_n$. If $n = 0$, we have $\ker \varphi_0 = 0$ and thus we can put:

Definition 4.2. $Col_0 =: (Col_0^\vartheta, Col_0^\upsilon) = (P_0^1, P_0^0)$.

Similarly, we have $\ker \varphi_1 = 0 \oplus X\Lambda_1$, so we can write $Col_1 = (Col_1^\vartheta, Col_1^\upsilon)$ and put $Col_1^\vartheta = P_1^0$.

Proposition 4.3. *Col^υ is surjective.*

Lemma 4.4. *$\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0)$ is generated by $(c_0^\sigma)_{\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_0}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module.*

Proof. We have $Tr_{k_0/\mathbb{Q}_p}(c_0) = unit \times c_{-1}$ (see e.g. [3]), and we know that $\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0)$ is generated by (c_0^σ) and (c_{-1}^σ). *QED for Lemma*

Corollary 4.5. *$(c_0^\sigma)_{\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_0}$ are a basis for $\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module.*

Proof. $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0)^\eta) = 1$ for any character $\eta : \Delta \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. *QED for Corollary*

Proof. We now prove proposition 4.3. Note that $\varphi_0 = id$. Thus we can prove the surjectivity of $Col_0^\upsilon = P_0^0$ as in [Kobayashi's Thesis, prop. 8.23]: $P_0^0(z) = \sum_{\sigma\in\Delta}(c_0^\sigma, z)_0\sigma$, so from corollary 4.5, P_0^0 is given by

$$H^1(k_0, T) \to Hom(\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0), \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \Lambda_0,$$

where the first map comes from the pairing given by the cup product $(,)_0 : \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0) \times H^1(k_0, T) \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ (see [Kobayashi's Thesis, (8.23) on page 18]), and the last identification is $f \mapsto \sum_{\sigma\in\Delta} f(c_0^\sigma)\sigma$.

Now $H^1(k_0, T) \to \Lambda_0$ is surjective since its Pontryagin dual is the injection $\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow H^1(k_0, V/T)$, where $V = T \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\varprojlim_n H^1(k_n, T) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Col^\upsilon"] & H^1(k_0, T) \arrow[d, "Col_0^\upsilon"] \\
\Lambda \arrow[r] & \Lambda_0
\end{tikzcd}$$

The surjectivity of Col^υ follows from the surjectivity of the other maps and Nakayama's lemma. The corestriction map at the top is surjective since this is true at finite levels: $H^1(k_n, T) \to H^1(k_m, T)$is surjective if $n \geq m$. This can be seen as follows: The kernel of the restriction map $H^1(k_m, V/T) \to H^1(k_n, V/T)$ is $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(k_n/k_m), H^0(k_n, V/T))$ by the inflation-restriction sequence. But the formal groups $\mathcal{F}_{ss}$ has no p-power torsion in k_n ([Kobayashi's Thesis, prop. 8.7]), so $H^0(k_n, V/T) = 0$. Thus the kernel is zero. Now use Tate duality. *QED*

Proposition 4.6. *If η is trivial, then $\varepsilon_\eta^\vartheta Col^\vartheta$ is surjective. If η is nontrivial, then $im(\varepsilon_\eta Col^\vartheta) = J^\eta$. Here, $J = (a_p + a_pX + \frac{a_p}{p}X^2, X) \subset \Lambda$.*

Lemma 4.7. *We have an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_{-1}) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{ss}^{\delta_1^{-2}}(\mathfrak{m}_1) \xrightarrow{[-]} \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{ss}^{\delta_1^{-2}}(\mathfrak{m}_1)$ *is the* $\mathbb{Z}_p$*-submodule of* $\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_1)$ *generated by* $\{(\delta_1^{-2})^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_1}$*,* Δ *the diagonal map, and* $[-] : (a, b) \mapsto (a - b)$*.*

Proof. $\{(\delta_1^{-2})^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_1}$ and $\{(\delta_1^{-1})^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_1} = \{c_0^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\mathcal{G}_1}$ generate $\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_1)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, so we have to prove that $\mathcal{C}_{ss}^{\delta_1^{-2}}(\mathfrak{m}_1) \cap \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0) = \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_{-1})$. Let $P \in \mathcal{C}_{ss}^{\delta_1^{-2}}(\mathfrak{m}_1) \cap \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0)$. Then $Tr_{1/0}P \in \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_{-1})$, since this holds for all elements of $\mathcal{C}_{ss}^{\delta_1^{-2}}$. But since we also have $P \in \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0)$, $Tr_{1/0}P = pP$. Thus, $p(P^\sigma - P) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(k_0/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ as in the proof of [Kobayashi's Thesis, prop. 8.12]. Thus, $P \in \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_{-1})$. Also, $\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_{-1}) \subset \mathcal{C}_{ss}^{\delta_1^{-2}}(\mathfrak{m}_1)$ since $Tr_{1/0}\delta_1^{-2} = \delta_0^{-1} = c_{-1}$ and $\{c_{-1}^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\Delta}$ generate $\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_{-1})$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module (see e.g. [3]). *QED for Lemma*

Corollary 4.8. *For* $\eta : \Delta \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ *trivial,* $rk_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{C}_{ss}^{\delta_1^{-2}}(\mathfrak{m}_1))^\eta = p$*.*

Proof. $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_{-1})^\eta = 1$, $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0)^\eta = 1$, and $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_1)^\eta = p$. *QED for Corollary*

Proof. We now prove proposition 4.6. The idea is to use the map Col_1^ϑ the way we used Col_0^υ in the proof of proposition 4.3. For η trivial, $(\varepsilon_\eta \delta_1^{-2^\sigma})_{\sigma\in\Gamma_1}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_1)^\eta$ by the above corollary 4.8. Here, we have written $\mathcal{G}_1 = \Delta \times \Gamma_1$, and the proof proceeds as in the Col^υ-case.

For η non-trivial, we prove that $im(\varepsilon_\eta Col_1^\vartheta) = (a_p + a_p X + \frac{a_p}{p}X^2, X)\Lambda_1$. Then we use Nakayama's lemma as above.

We have that $Col_1^\vartheta = P_1^0 = \frac{a_p}{p}P_1^{-1} - P_1^{-2}$ and from the previous lemma 4.7, $\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_1)^\eta \cong \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0)^\eta \oplus \mathcal{C}_{ss}^{\delta_1^{-2}}(\mathfrak{m}_1)^\eta$. Thus we can describe $\varepsilon_\eta Col_1^\vartheta$ by

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(k_1,T)^\eta \arrow[r] & Hom(\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_1)^\eta, \mathbb{Z}_p) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal, "lemma 4.7"] & \Lambda_1^\eta \\
& Hom(\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0)^\eta \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\delta_1^{-2}}(\mathfrak{m}_1)^\eta, \mathbb{Z}_p) \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The first surjective map is induced by the pairing, and the second map is $f \mapsto \varepsilon_\eta \sum_{\sigma\in\Gamma_1} f(\varepsilon_\eta \delta_1^0 \sigma)\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][\Gamma_1]^\eta = \Lambda_1^\eta$. From $\delta_1^0 = \frac{a_p}{p}\delta_1^{-1} - \delta_1^{-2}$,

$$\varepsilon_\eta \sum_{\sigma\in\Gamma_1} f(\varepsilon_\eta \delta_1^{0^\sigma})\sigma = \varepsilon_\eta \sum_{\sigma\in\Gamma_1} \left(\frac{a_p}{p} f(\varepsilon_\eta \delta_1^{-1}) - f(\varepsilon_\eta \delta_1^{-2^\sigma})\right)\sigma.$$

$\varepsilon_\eta \delta_1^{-1} = \varepsilon_\eta c_0$ and $(\varepsilon_\eta \delta_1^{-2})^\sigma_{\sigma \in \Gamma_1}$ are each a basis for $\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0)^\eta$ and generators for $\mathcal{C}_{ss}^{\delta_1^{-2}}(\mathfrak{m}_1)^\eta$. From lemma 4.7,

$$\begin{aligned} rk_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{C}_{ss}^{\delta_1^{-2}}(\mathfrak{m}_1)^\eta \quad &= rk_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_1)^\eta + rk_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_{-1})^\eta - rk_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}_0)^\eta \\ &= p-1, \text{ since } rk_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{F}_{ss}(\mathfrak{m}^\eta_{-1}) = 0 \text{ for } \eta \text{ nontrivial.} \end{aligned}$$

But note that $\displaystyle\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma_1} (\varepsilon_\eta \delta_1^{-2})^\sigma \sigma = \varepsilon_\eta Tr_{1/0} \delta_1^{-2} = \varepsilon_\eta \delta_0^{-1} = 0$. Thus, $(\varepsilon_\eta \delta_1^{-2} \sigma)_{\sigma \in \Gamma_1 - \{1\}}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}_{ss}^{\delta_1^{-2}}(\mathfrak{m}_1)^\eta$, so

$$Hom(\mathcal{C}_{ss}^{\delta_1^{-2}}(\mathfrak{m}_1)^\eta, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \varepsilon_\eta X \Lambda_1$$

by $f \mapsto \varepsilon_\eta \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma_1} f(\varepsilon_\eta \delta_1^{-2^\sigma})\sigma$. Note that $X\Lambda_1 = \ker(\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][\Gamma_1] \to \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta])$.

Hence,

$$im(\varepsilon_\eta Col_1^\vartheta) = \varepsilon_\eta \left(\frac{a_p}{p} \mathbb{Z}_p \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma_1} \sigma - \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma - \{1\}} \mathbb{Z}_p \sigma \right).$$

For $p \geq 5, a_p = 0$, so $im(\varepsilon_\eta Col_1^\vartheta) = \varepsilon_\eta \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma - \{1\}} \mathbb{Z}_p \sigma = \varepsilon_\eta X \Lambda_1$.

If $p = 3$, then $im(\varepsilon_\eta Col_1^\vartheta) = \varepsilon_\eta((\frac{a_p}{p} + \frac{a_p}{p}(1+X) + \frac{a_p}{p}(1+X)^2)\Lambda_1 + X\Lambda_1)$, since $\mathbb{Z}_p(\frac{a_p}{p} + \frac{a_p}{p}(1+X) + \frac{a_p}{p}(1+X)^2) \cong \Lambda_1(\frac{a_p}{p} + \frac{a_p}{p}(1+X) + \frac{a_p}{p}(1+X)^2)$.

Thus, $im(\varepsilon_\eta Col_1^\vartheta) = \varepsilon_\eta(a_p + a_p X + \frac{a_p}{p} X^2, X)\Lambda_1$. *QED*

4.2 The Main Conjecture

We keep the notation of [Kobayashi's Thesis]: $K_n = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{n+1}}), K_{-1} = \mathbb{Q}$, and $K_\infty = \displaystyle\bigcup_n K_n$. Kobayashi constructed the two Selmer groups

$$\mathrm{Sel}^\pm(E/K_n) = \ker \left(\mathrm{Sel}(E/K_n) \longrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \frac{E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p}{E^\pm(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p} \right), \text{and put}$$

$$\mathrm{Sel}^\pm(E/K_\infty) = \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Sel}^\pm(E/K_n). \text{ Thus, we could also write}$$

$$\mathrm{Sel}^\pm(E/K_\infty) = \ker \left(\mathrm{Sel}(E/K_\infty) \longrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \frac{E(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p}{E^\pm(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p} \right),$$

where $\mathrm{Sel}(E/K_\infty) = \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Sel}(E/K_n), E(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p = \varinjlim_n E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ (note that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a place of K_∞), and $E^\pm(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p = \varinjlim_n E^\pm(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. The essential property is:

$E^\pm(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ is the exact annihilator of $\ker(Col^\pm)$ under the local Tate pairing.

Thus, we make the following

Definition 4.9. Let $E^\vartheta_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}}$(resp. $E^\upsilon_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}}$) be the exact annihilator of $\ker Col^\vartheta$(resp. $\ker Col^\upsilon$) under the local Tate pairing.

This allows us to define two Selmer groups:

Definition 4.10.

$$\mathrm{Sel}^\vartheta(E/K_\infty) := \ker\left(\mathrm{Sel}(E/K_\infty) \longrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \frac{E(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p}{E^\vartheta_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}}}\right),$$

$$\mathrm{Sel}^\upsilon(E/K_\infty) := \ker\left(\mathrm{Sel}(E/K_\infty) \longrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \frac{E(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p}{E^\upsilon_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}}}\right).$$

We also define their duals $\mathcal{X}^*(E/K_\infty) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Sel}^*(E/K_\infty), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for $* = \vartheta$ resp. $* = \upsilon$.

Using work of Kato in an analogous way to Kobayashi, we will now prove

Theorem 4.11. *For some integer* $n \geq 0$,

$$\mathrm{Char}(\mathcal{X}^*\,(E/K_\infty)^\eta) \supseteq \left(p^n \frac{1}{X} L_p^*(E,\eta,X)\right) \textit{ if } * = \vartheta, \eta \neq 1, \textit{and } a_p = 0,$$

$$\mathrm{Char}(\mathcal{X}^*\,(E/K_\infty)^\eta) \supseteq \left(p^n L_p^*(E,\eta,X)\right) \textit{ for all other cases.}$$

Further, if the p-adic representation $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(T)$ *on the automorphism group of the Tate module* T *is surjective, we can take* $n = 0$.

To do this, first not that the arguments of [Kobayashi's Thesis, section 7] apply to our case and we can extend [Kobayashi's Thesis, theorem 7.4] to :

Proposition 4.12. *There are exact sequences*

$$0 \to \mathbf{H}^1(T)^\eta/\mathbf{Z}(T)^\eta \to J^\eta/(L_p^*(E,\eta,X)) \to \mathcal{X}^*(E/K_\infty)^\eta \to \mathcal{X}^0(E/K_\infty)^\eta \to 0 \textit{ if } * = \vartheta, \eta \neq 1,$$

$0 \to \mathbf{H}^1(T)^\eta/\mathbf{Z}(T)^\eta \to \Lambda^\eta/(L_p^*(E,\eta,X)) \to \mathcal{X}^*(E/K_\infty)^\eta \to \mathcal{X}^0(E/K_\infty)^\eta \to 0$ *if not.*

Here, $\mathcal{X}^0$ is the dual to Kurihara's Selmer group (see [5]):

$$\mathrm{Sel}^0(E/K_\infty) := \ker\left(\mathrm{Sel}(E/K_\infty) \longrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} E(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p\right),$$

$\mathbf{H}^1(T)$ is the Λ-module from Kato's main conjecture, and $\mathbf{Z}(T)$ a module generated by Kato's zeta elements (See [Kobayashi's Thesis, section 5] or [6] for details).

Although we do not define the terms, we mention Kato's main conjecture. The equivalence to our main conjecture below follows formally.

Conjecture 4.13. *(Kato) For a character $\eta : \Delta \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$,*

$$\mathbf{Z}(T)^\eta \subseteq \mathbf{H}^1(T)^\eta \text{ and } \mathrm{Char}\left(\mathcal{X}^0(E/K_\infty)^\eta\right) = \mathrm{Char}\left(\mathbf{H}^1(T)^\eta/\mathbf{Z}(T)^\eta\right).$$

In view of proposition 4.12, the following conjectures are equivalent to the main conjecture of Kato, and to that of Perrin-Riou [7] :

Main Conjecture 4.14. *In theorem 4.11, we can always put $n = 0$ and the three inclusions are three equalities:*

$$\mathrm{Char}(\mathcal{X}^*\,(E/K_\infty)^\eta) = \left(\frac{1}{X}L_p^*(E,\eta,X)\right) \textit{ if } * = \vartheta, \eta \neq 1, \textit{ and } a_p = 0,$$

$$\mathrm{Char}(\mathcal{X}^*\,(E/K_\infty)^\eta) = \left(L_p^*(E,\eta,X)\right) \textit{ for all other cases.}$$

Theorem 4.11 then follows from Kato's divisibility statement in [6]. We remark that for $a_3 \neq 0, \mathrm{Char}(J^\eta/L_3^\vartheta(E,\eta,X)) = (L_3^\vartheta(E,\eta,X))$.

Open Problem 4.15. *One of the insights in [Kobayashi's Thesis] was to describe the $E^\pm(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})$ explicitly using trace maps. If one could explicitly write $E_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}}^{\vartheta/\upsilon} = \varinjlim_n E^{\vartheta/\upsilon}(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ for some easily defined $E^{\vartheta/\upsilon}(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})$, this should lead to some interesting applications.*

Remark 4.16. We also remark that the techniques of Pollack and Rubin [14] can not be extended, since for an elliptic curve with complex multiplication supersingular at p, we always have $a_p = 0$.

References

[Kobayashi's Thesis] S. Kobayashi, *Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at supersingular primes*, Inventiones Mathematicae **152** (2003), no.1, 1-36.

[Pollack's Thesis] R. Pollack, *The p-adic L-function at a supersingular prime*, Duke Mathematical Journal **118** (2003), no.3, 523-558.

[1] Y.Amice, J. Vélu: *Distributions p-adiques associées aux séries de Hecke*, in Journées Arithmétiques de Bordeaux (Bordeaux, 1974), Astérisque **24-25**, Société Mathématique de France, Montrogue, 1975, 119-131.

[2] M.M. Višik, *Nonarchimedean measures associated with Dirichlet series*, Matematičeskii Sbornik **99(141)**, no. 2 (1976), pp. 248-260, 296.

[3] R. Pollack, *An algebraic version of a theorem of Kurihara*, Journal of Number Theory **110** (2005), 164-177.

[4] T. Honda, *On the theory of commutative formal groups*, Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan **22** (1970), 213-246 .

[5] M. Kurihara, *On the Tate Shafarevich groups over cyclotomic fields of an elliptic curve with supersingular reduction I*, Inventiones Mathematicae **149** (2002), 195-224.

[6] K.Kato, *p-adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms*, Astérisque **295** (2004), 117-290.

[7] B. Perrin-Riou, *Fonctions L p-adiques des représentations p-adiques*, Astérisque **229** (1995), 198 pp.

[8] B. Mazur and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Arithmetic of Weil curves*, Inventiones Mathematicae **25** (1974), 1-61.

[9] B. Mazur, *Courbes elliptiques et symboles modulaires*, in Séminaire Bourbaki **414** (1971/1972) (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, volume 317), Springer 1972.

[10] B. Mazur, *Rational points of abelian varieties with values in towers of number fields*, Inventiones Mathematicae **18** (1972), 183-266.

[11] B. Mazur, J. Tate, and J. Teitelbaum, *On p-adic analogues of the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer*, Inventiones Mathematicae **84** (1986), 1-48.

[12] J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **106** (1992), Springer, New York.

[13] C. Skinner, E. Urban: *Main conjectures and modular forms*, in preparation.

[14] R. Pollack, K. Rubin: *The main conjecture for CM elliptic curves at supersingular primes*, Annals of Mathematics **159**, no.1 (2004), 447-464.

[15] N. Elkies: *The existence of infinitely many supersingular primes for every elliptic curve over* $\mathbb{Q}$, Inventiones Mathematicae **89** (1987), no.3, 561-567 .